

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/572,707	03/17/2006	Ki-Won Jun	930086-2024	7639	
Ronald R Santi	7590 01/15/200 1CCi	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawrence & Haug 745 Fifth Avenue			WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
New York, NY	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ		•	1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2008	. PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/572,707	,	JUN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	1			
		Elizabeth D	. Wood	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Sisters of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THI 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no even cation. ory period will apply and will , by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICA tt, however, may a reply expire SIX (6) MONTH: action to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☐ This action is no allowance except for	or formal matters		ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cons						
Applicati	on Papers	•						
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be correction is required	held in abeyance d if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/17/06</u> .	-948)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

10/572,707 Art Unit: 1793

Specification

The examiner has not checked the specification to the extent necessary to determine the presence of **all** possible minor errors (grammatical, typographical and idiomatic). Cooperation of the applicant(s) is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant(s) may become aware of in the specification, in the claims and in any future amendment(s) that applicant(s) may file.

Applicant(s) is also requested to complete the status of any copending applications referred to in the specification by their Attorney Docket Number or Application Serial Number, **if any**.

The status of the parent application(s) and/or any other application(s) cross-referenced to this application, if **any**, should be updated in a timely manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,740,783 to Jun et al. in view of the Elnicke et al. article.

Applicant's invention is directed to a composition and method for the production thereof involving a zeolitic material of specific characteristics in combination with a binder material.

Application/Control Number:

10/572,707

Art Unit: 1793

Jun et al. are relied on for the disclosure of hydrophobic zeolite material having a ratio of silica to alumina commensurate with that claimed herein. The zeolite is also contains the metal cations employed by applicant. Jun et al. differ from the instantly claimed invention in the use of a binder.

The Elnicke et al. article is relied on solely for the showing that the use of a binder in combination with hydrophobic zeolitic material is known. The instantly claimed selection of the well-known binders such as silica-alumina would have been within the skill of the artisan, and would have been motivated by the availability of the materials and the known characteristics associated with the chosen materials, i.e. desired level of acidity, expectation of increased surface are and strength, and so forth. Accordingly, it is considered that the artisan armed with the prior art of record would have found it obvious to employ an inorganic binder with the zeolite of Jun et al. with the expectation of success and with the expectation of an improved zeolitic material.

Conclusion

Applicants are advised that any evidence to be provided under 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 and any amendments to the claims and specification should be submitted prior to final rejection to be considered timely. It is anticipated that the next office action will be a final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

Application/Control Number:

10/572,707 Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 771-272-1900

Elizabeth D. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

EDW